

Modeling of MHD Stability Consistent to the Transport

T.Ozeki¹, N. Aiba¹, N.Hayashi¹, T. Takizuka¹, M.Sugihara², N.Oyama¹

¹ Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Naka-shi, Ibaraki-ken, Japan 311-0193

² ITER International Team, Naka JWS, Naka-shi, Ibaraki-ken, Japan 311-0193

Introduction

Burning plasma contains complex physics produced by coupling of some physical-factors that are transport, MHD, wave-particle interaction, plasma-wall interaction and so on. These physical factors have widely different time scale (10^{-10} to 10^3 sec) and different spatial scales (10^{-6} to 10^2 m), and these are linked with each other. Especially, the tokamak burning plasma has an autonomous property due to the large fusion power against the additional heating power and due to the large bootstrap current against the total current. To predict and control the burning plasma, the simulation code including these complex factors is necessary. At the present, it is not realistic to simulate the whole burning plasma based on the first principle such as the particle simulation. Therefore, the modeling of the various physics in tokamak plasmas and the integration of the models are necessary. In this paper, the plan of the integrated modeling of the tokamak physics is described and the progress on the modeling of Edge Localized Mode (ELM) is presented.

Integrated modeling in JAERI

To simulate complex burning plasmas, the integration of the simulation codes based on the 1.5D transport code, TOPICS[1], is planned in JAERI. TOPICS code solves the 1D transport equation, the current diffusion equation and the 2D Grad-Shafranov equation for the real configuration. It is organized to integrate the physical factors which are the current drive, the impurity transport, edge pedestal model, divertor model, MHD and high energy behavior model (Fig.1). The heating and current drive source of NBI is obtained by the 1D or 2D Fokker-Planck codes, and the source of RF (ECCD/ECH)




Fig.1 Burning Plasma Simulation Code Cluster in JAERI

is obtained by the ray tracing and the Fokker-Planck codes with the relativistic effect. Impurity behaviors are solved by 1D transport code IMPACT with TOPICS. Physics on the divertor is solved by the integration of the fluid model of SOL/Divertor, SOLDOR, and models of neutrals and impurities using Monte Carlo method, NEUT2D and IMPMC. MHD stability codes are coupled to the TOPICS code to obtain the MHD stability consistent to the transport for the analysis of beta limits, neo-classical tearing mode (NTM) and ELMs. Here, the ELM model by using the integrated code of the transport and MHD stability is presented.

Model of MHD stability consistent to the transport

ELMs are important from viewpoints of the heat load on the divertor plate, the plasma confinement and the plasma control for the steady state. The ELM phenomena are mainly considered to be induced by the high-n ballooning mode due to the large pressure gradient or by the medium-n peeling mode due to the large edge current and the pressure gradient. To evaluate the time-dependent effect of the ELM activity on the confinement of burning plasma, here we develop an integrated transport simulation model using both of TOPICS code and the linear MHD instability code MARG2D [2].

MARG2D code solves the eigen-value problem associated with the 2D Newcomb equation. MARG2D is applicable for medium-n modes stability analysis and its calculation time is very short. To simulate the ELMs, the MARG2D is solved on each time step of the transport code TOPICS. The thermal diffusivity is enhanced according to the radial profile of the eigen function when the mode becomes unstable.

Simulation of ELMs

ELM activity is simulated for JT-60U like parameters as follows: $R_{\text{maj}}=3.4\text{m}$, $a=0.9\text{m}$, $\kappa\sim 1.5$, $\delta\sim 0.2$, $I_p=1.5\text{MA}$, $B_t=3.5\text{T}$ and $\beta_N\sim 0.5-0.8$. The heat and particle diffusivities are assumed as, $\chi_{i,e} = \chi_{neo,i} + \chi_{ano,i,e}$ where $\chi_{neo,i}$ denotes the neoclassical ion thermal diffusivities calculated by the matrix inversion method [3]. The anomalous diffusivities $\chi_{ano,i,e}$ are simply given as $\chi_{ano,i} = 0.18[m^2/s] \times (1 + 2\rho^3) \left(1 + \sqrt{\hat{P}_{NB}}\right)$ and $\chi_{ano,e} = \chi_{ano,i}/2$, where \hat{P}_{NB} is the normalized NB power [4]. To make the pedestal structure, the $\chi_{i,e}$ near the edge ($\rho > 0.9$) is set to the neo-classical level ($\chi_{ano,i,e} = 0$). Here, the density profile is fixed as $n_e = 0.33 \times 10^{20} [m] \times \left[0.7(1 - \rho^2)^{0.5} + 0.3\right]$. Z_{eff} is 2.8 near the center and gradually decrease

experiment are remained as future works.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. S.Tokuda and Dr. Y.Kamada for fruitful comments and Mr. I.Kamata for developing the integration code. This work is partly supported by JSPS, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research.

References

- [1] N. Hayashi, et al., J. Plasma Fusion Res. 80 (2004) 605.
- [2] S. Tokuda, et al., Phys. Plasmas 6 (8) 1999.
- [3] M.Kikuchi et al., Nucl. Fusion 30 (1990) 343.
- [4] H. Shirai, et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, 42 (2000) 1193.




Fig.4 Degradation of the edge pedestal of the ion temperature




Fig.5 Change of the current density through the change of the pressure gradient




Fig.6 Re-buldup of the edge pedestal during an ELM




Fig.7 Increase of the normalized pressure gradient during an ELM.