Stability and confinement optimisation in the range q₀=1-3 at JET
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Introduction

Steady-state operation of ITER at Q≈5 is envisaged with a plasma current of ≈9MA, a
large fraction of which must be provided by the bootstrap mechanism. In these conditions q₉₅
will be ≈5 and the minimum value of q (qₘᵢₙ) is expected to be >1. Experiments have been
performed on JET to vary the q-profile shape in this domain to investigate the effect on
stability and confinement. The large ratio of resistive time (τᵣ) to energy confinement time
(τₑ) on JET (τᵣ≈4-8s and τᵣ/τₑ≈20-50 in these experiments at 1.1-1.6MA/1.6-2.3T) has
allowed the study of a wide range of q-profile shapes without the need for fully non-inductive
current drive. In these experiments two favourable domains have been identified: one with
qₘᵢₙ in the range 1.0-1.5; and the other at qₘᵢₙ≥2.

Experiments with qₘᵢₙ≈1.0-1.5

In this domain good stability was obtained with βₙ≈4 for many τₑ, as shown in Fig 1.
Neutral beam heating was applied roughly at the time q₀ reached 1 due to current penetration
during the initial phase of the pulse. The main obstacle to the prolongation of the high βₙ
phase was the onset of m=2, n=1 MHD instabilities, the time of which is indicated in Fig 1. It
is not thought that the resistive wall mode limit has been reached in these experiments and the
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2/1 mode quickly develop a tearing character [1]. During the main heating phase $\beta$ was raised slowly under feedback control using the neutral beam injection, as seen in Fig 1. With this optimisation the 2/1 mode tended to appear on a timescale long compared with $\tau_E$, but short compared with $\tau_R$. In plasmas of the type shown in Fig 1 the current density profile broadened during the heating phase, gradually shrinking the radius of the $q=2$ surface until the 2/1 mode became unstable. In this case the radial location, local magnetic shear and pressure gradient at the $q=2$ surface were being simultaneously modified, all of which may affect the stability of the observed mode.

The appearance of neoclassical tearing modes (NTMs) with higher toroidal mode numbers can also degrade the plasma confinement and the avoidance of these has allowed improved confinement with respect to the IPB98(y,2) scaling to be achieved. The method used, analogous to the technique for avoiding the 2/1 mode, was to increase the radius of low order rational q-surfaces with $q>1$ where deleterious instabilities can be encountered. To avoid large q=1 sawteeth $q_0$ was simultaneously kept as high as possible, leading to an optimum q-profile with $q_{\text{min}} \approx 1$ and a wide region of very low magnetic shear in the plasma core. This class of q-profile has been exploited on many tokamaks in what is commonly called the hybrid regime.

The location of NTMs is an important factor in the resulting impact on confinement. This is illustrated in Fig 2 where confinement relative to the IPB98(y,2) scaling is plotted against the radius of the n=2 mode for plasmas in the range $q_{95}=4-5$. The mode location was determined from fast electron cyclotron emission measurements as described in [2]. Despite the fact that none of these plasmas had a clear internal transport barrier (ITB), $H_{\text{IPB98(y,2)}} > 1$ could be obtained with $q_{\text{min}} \approx 1$ where NTMs associated with low order rational q-surfaces were either absent of restricted to the plasma.
Avoidance of low n NTMs was achieved using a plasma current overshoot just before the main heating was applied to generate the wide region of low magnetic shear close to q=1. This technique was developed for the hybrid regime on JET [3] and has led to good confinement ($H_{IPB98(y,2)} \approx 1.3-1.4$) and good stability ($\beta_N \approx 2.8$) for of order a resistive time. During these pulses the radius of the q=1.5 surface tended to decrease until a 3/2 NTM was triggered and the confinement was degraded.

**Experiments with $q_{\text{min}} \approx 2.0$**

Previous JET experiments at $q_{\text{min}} > 1.5$ suggested that, for plasmas without an internal transport barrier (ITB), both stability and confinement were degraded compared with low $q_{\text{min}}$ plasmas such that $H_{IPB98(y,2)} \leq 1$ and $\beta_N$ was typically $\leq 2.5$ [4]. Recent experiments at $q_{\text{min}} = 1.5-2.0$ have confirmed the difficulty to avoid performance degrading 2/1 MHD, unlike DIII-D where high performance plasma can be obtained in this domain [5]. However, at JET a second favourable domain was found at $q_{\text{min}} \approx 2$ where $\beta_N \approx 3$ has been achieved for many $\tau_E$ [6]. The duration of the high performance phase was typically limited due to the slow q-profile evolution into the $q_{\text{min}} < 2$ domain, in accordance with modelling that suggests the gradual increase in magnetic shear at the q=2 surface eventually leads to instability [7].

Extending the use of the current overshoot technique to plasmas with $q_{\text{min}} \approx 2$ has resulted in the achievement of good confinement ($H_{IPB98(y,2)} \approx 1.25$) without the steep internal pressure gradients associated with ITBs. The time evolution of a typical case is shown in Fig 3 where $\beta_N \approx 2.75$ was obtained, giving an estimated bootstrap fraction of 40%. As with the $q_{\text{min}} \approx 1$ domain it was possible to avoid $n=1$ or $n=2$ NTMs for many $\tau_E$ by generating a wide region of low magnetic shear in the plasma core, this time close to $q=2$.

The q-profiles of typical cases from the $q_{\text{min}} \approx 1$ and $q_{\text{min}} \approx 2$ domains are illustrated in Fig 4. Also shown is the q-profile after 3 resistive times for a plasma in the domain $q_{\text{min}} \approx 1$. The measured q-profile evolution follows closely the expected path modelled using neoclassical resistivity and non-inductive drive due to beams and the bootstrap effect. The non-inductive component is typically 40-60% in these experiments and the application of
further non-inductive drive is required in the region \( \rho = 0.4-0.6 \) to prevent the relaxation of the low magnetic shear region in the core.

**Conclusions**

Two favourable q-profile domains have been identified of relevance to steady-state tokamak operation. With \( q_{\text{min}} \approx 1 \) good stability and confinement were achieved. But peripheral bootstrap current at high \( \beta_P \) tends to reduce the size of the low magnetic shear region close to \( q=1 \), limiting the attractiveness of this regime for steady-state operation. In plasmas with \( q_{\text{min}} \approx 2 \), but without a clear ITB, confinement and stability have been improved compared with previous JET experiments, although the performance is inferior to that of the \( q_{\text{min}} \approx 1 \) domain. However, the plasmas obtained are more compatible with bootstrap current drive, both in term of efficiency (due to the weaker core poloidal field) and alignment, and sustainment of this class of q-profile may be feasible using off-axis non-inductive current drive at \( \rho = 0.4-0.6 \). This q-profile domain has been used for steady-state scenario development at higher current and field at JET [8].
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