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1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] the general theory of a new multiple timescale (MTS) derivative expansion scheme has been presented and applied both to the dimensionless Fokker-Planck and to Maxwell’s equations. Within kinetic theory, the four species dependent timescales are those of Larmor gyration \( \Omega_\alpha^{-1} \), the transit time \( \omega_\alpha^{-1} \), the collision time \( \nu_\alpha^{-1} \) and the classical diffusion time \( \tau_{\alpha,cd} \), which can be written in the standardized form \( \tau_{\alpha,n} = \tau_{\alpha,0} \delta_\alpha^{-n} \), where \( \delta_\alpha = \omega_\alpha / \Omega_\alpha \). The application of the MTS approach to the dimensionless Fokker-Planck equation leads to a separate kinetic equation for each order in the expansion parameter \( \delta_\alpha \).

2. BASIC EQUATIONS

The laboratory frame representation of the kinetic equations is appropriate for deriving the transport equations via velocity moments, i.e. for the analysis of the convective transport. However, for the investigation of the diffusive transport, i.e. for the calculation of the transport coefficients depending only on the random velocity, we prefer to represent the kinetic equations in the convected velocity reference (CVR) frame. For that we have to rewrite the hierarchy of Fokker-Planck equations (Eqs. (8) in Ref. [1]).

Replacing the velocity \( \vec{v} \) by the random velocity \( \vec{c} = \vec{v} - \vec{u}_\alpha \), the dimensionless Fokker-Planck equation in the CVR frame reads [3]

\[
\delta_\alpha \left( \frac{df_\alpha}{dt_\alpha} + \vec{c} \cdot \nabla f_\alpha \right) + \left\{ \sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{F}_\alpha + \vec{c} \times \vec{B} \right) - \delta_\alpha \vec{c} \cdot \vec{S}_\alpha - \delta_\alpha \frac{d\vec{u}_\alpha}{dt_\alpha} \right\} \cdot \nabla \epsilon f_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^2 \Lambda_\alpha C_\alpha \left( f_\alpha, f_\beta \right),
\]

(1)

with the definitions

\[
\frac{d}{dt_\alpha} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla, \quad \sigma_\alpha := \text{sign}(q_\alpha), \quad \vec{F}_\alpha := a_\alpha \vec{E} + \vec{u}_\alpha \times \vec{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{S}_\alpha := \nabla \otimes \vec{u}_\alpha.
\]

Application of the MTS approach leads to the following zeroth-order and first-order equations in the CVR frame:
\[
\delta^0_\alpha: \quad \sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{F}_{\alpha 0} + \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_0 \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} = 0
\]

(2)

\[
\delta^1_\alpha: \quad \frac{\partial f_{\alpha 0}}{\partial t_{\alpha 0}} + \vec{u}_{\alpha 0} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} + \sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{F}_{\alpha 0} + \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_0 \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0}
\]

\[
\quad + \left\{ \sigma_\alpha \left[ \vec{F}_{\alpha 1} + \left( \frac{\delta_\beta}{\delta_\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_1 \right] \right\} - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha 0} - \frac{\partial u_{\alpha 0}}{\partial t_{\alpha 0}} - (\vec{u}_{\alpha 0} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{u}_{\alpha 0} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} = 0
\]

(3)

where \( \vec{F}_{\alpha 0} := a_\alpha \vec{E}_0 + \vec{u}_{\alpha 0} \times \vec{B}_0 \) and \( \vec{F}_{\alpha 1} := \vec{u}_{\alpha 1} \times \vec{B}_0 + \left( \frac{\delta_\beta}{\delta_\alpha} \right)^{1/2} (a_\alpha \vec{E}_1 + \vec{u}_{\alpha 0} \times \vec{B}_1). \) Using the zeroth-order and first-order two-fluid transport equations derived in the same way as in [1], the forces \( \vec{F}_{\alpha 0} \) and \( \vec{F}_{\alpha 1} \) can be eliminated finally yielding

\[
\delta^0_\alpha: \quad \sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_0 \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} = 0
\]

(4)

\[
\delta^1_\alpha: \quad \frac{df_{\alpha 0}}{dt_{\alpha 0}} + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} + \left( \frac{\nabla p_{\alpha 0}}{n_{\alpha 0}} - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{S}_{\alpha 0} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 0} + \sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_0 \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f_{\alpha 1} = 0
\]

(5)

with the solution of the zeroth-order equation, Eq. (4), simply given by

\[
f_{\alpha 0}(\vec{x}, \vec{c}, t_{\alpha 0}, t_{\alpha 1}, t_{\alpha 2}) = n_{\alpha 0}(\sigma T_{\alpha 0})^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\vec{c}^2/T_{\alpha 0}\right).
\]

(6)

3. FIRST-ORDER SOLUTION \( f_{\alpha 1} \)

In order to solve the first-order equation, Eq. (5), we assume that \( f_{\alpha 1} \) is only slightly deviating from \( f_{\alpha 0} \) and engage the ansatz

\[
f_{\alpha 1} = \Phi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, \vec{c}, t) f_{\alpha 0},
\]

(7)

where \( \Phi_{\alpha} \) denotes a small correction. Thus we arrive at the first-order Fokker-Planck equation of the form

\[
-\sigma_\alpha \left( \vec{c} \times \vec{B}_0 \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi_{\alpha} = \left( \frac{\vec{c}^2}{T_{\alpha 0}} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \vec{c} \cdot \nabla (\ln T_{\alpha 0}) + \frac{2}{T_{\alpha 0}} \vec{c} \cdot \vec{e}_{\alpha 0} \cdot \vec{c}
\]

(8)

with \( \vec{e}_{\alpha 0} := \frac{1}{2} (\vec{S}_{\alpha 0} + \vec{S}_{\alpha 0}^T) - \frac{1}{3} tr(\vec{S}_{\alpha 0}) \vec{I}. \) This equation is an inhomogeneous, linear first-order differential equation for \( \Phi_{\alpha}. \) The conditions for the existence of a solution are \( \vec{b} \cdot \nabla T_{\alpha 0} = 0 \) and \( \vec{b} \cdot \vec{e}_{\alpha 0} \cdot \vec{b} = 0, \) with \( \vec{b} = \vec{B}_0/B_0, \) \( B_0 = ||\vec{B}_0||. \) For solving Eq. (8) we express \( \Phi_{\alpha} \) as

\[
\Phi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, \vec{c}, t) = \Phi_{\alpha}^{\text{hom}} + \Phi_{\alpha}^{\text{inh}} = \Phi_{\alpha}^{\text{hom}} + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{U}_\alpha + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{V}_\alpha \cdot \vec{c}
\]

(9)
where \( \Phi_\text{hom}^\alpha \) and \( \Phi_\text{inh}^\alpha \) refer to the homogeneous and inhomogeneous solutions, respectively. Evidently, the non-trivial homogeneous solution is \( \Phi_\text{hom}^\alpha = F(c_1, c_\parallel, t) \) with \( c_\parallel = \hat{b} \cdot \hat{c} \) and \( c = |\hat{c}| \), where \( F \) is an arbitrary function which may be represented as a double series

\[
\Phi_\text{hom}^\alpha = F = \sum_m \sum_n b_{m,n}^\alpha f_{m,n}^\alpha \hat{c}^m \hat{c}^n.
\]

For \( U_\alpha \) and \( V_\alpha \) we found

\[
U_\alpha = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{B_0 T_\alpha} \left( \frac{c^2}{T_\alpha} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \hat{b} \times \nabla T_\alpha \text{ and } V_\alpha = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{B_0 T_\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} -e_{12}^{\alpha_0} & \frac{1}{2}(e_{11}^{\alpha_0} - e_{22}^{\alpha_0}) & -2e_{23}^{\alpha_0} \\ \frac{1}{2}(e_{11}^{\alpha_0} - e_{22}^{\alpha_0}) & e_{12}^{\alpha_0} & 2e_{13}^{\alpha_0} \\ -2e_{23}^{\alpha_0} & 2e_{13}^{\alpha_0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

A local Cartesian coordinate system has been chosen for representing \( V_\alpha \), in which the \( x_3 \)-axis is aligned along the zeroth-order magnetic field \( \hat{B}_0 \). Thus we found the general solution for the first-order distribution function \( f_{\alpha 1} \) to be

\[
f_{\alpha 1} = n_{\alpha 0}(\pi T_{\alpha 0})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[ \sum_m \sum_n b_{m,n}^\alpha f_{m,n}^\alpha \hat{c}^m \hat{c}^n + \hat{c} \cdot U_\alpha + \hat{c} \cdot V_\alpha \cdot \hat{c} \right] \exp(-\hat{c}^2 / T_{\alpha 0})
\]

where the coefficients \( b_{m,n}^\alpha \) are consistent with the requirement \( \int \hat{c} f_{\alpha 1} \, d\hat{c} = 0 \).

### 4. Heat Flux and Viscosity

Introducing Eq. (12) into the definition for the dimensionless first-order heat flux,

\[
\tilde{q}_{\alpha 1} = \frac{1}{2} \int c^2 \hat{c} f_{\alpha 1} \, d\hat{c},
\]

we arrive at

\[
\tilde{q}_{\alpha 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{\alpha_0 T_{\alpha 0}^{j+k+2}}{2j+3} \left\{ \frac{[2(j+k)+5]!}{2^{j+k+3}} b_{j+k+1,2k}^\alpha + (j+k+3)! \sqrt{\frac{T_{\alpha 0}}{\pi}} b_{2j+1,2k+1}^\alpha \right\} \hat{b} + \frac{5 \alpha_0 n_{\alpha 0} T_{\alpha 0}}{8 B_0} \hat{b} \times \nabla T_{\alpha 0}
\]

with the dimensional representation of the second term (originating from \( \Phi_\text{inh}^\alpha \))

\[
\tilde{q}_{\alpha 1}^{\text{inh}} = \kappa_\alpha \left( \hat{b} \times \nabla T_{\alpha 0} \right) \text{ with } \kappa_\alpha = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\sigma_\alpha n_{\alpha 0} T_{\alpha 0}}{m_\alpha \Omega_{\alpha 0}},
\]

where the over-tilde denotes the dimensional physical quantities. The thermal conductivities \( \kappa_\alpha \) and \( \kappa_\perp \), related to the temperature gradients \( \hat{b} \times \nabla T_{\alpha 0} \) and \( \nabla \perp T_{\alpha 0} \), are identical to Braginskii’s corresponding coefficients \( \kappa^{\parallel}_\alpha \) and \( \kappa^{\perp}_\alpha [2] \) in the collisionless limit.

From the first-order viscosity tensor definition \( \tilde{\Pi}_{\alpha 1} = \int \hat{c} \otimes \hat{c} f_{\alpha 1} \, d\hat{c} - \frac{1}{3} \int c^2 f_{\alpha 1} \, d\hat{c} \) we find...
\[ \tilde{\Pi}_{a_{i}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4j_{a_{i}}T_{a_{i}j+1}^{j+k+1}}{(2j+1)(2j+3)} \left[ \frac{[2(j+k)+3]!}{2^{j+k+2}} b_{j+k}^{a_{i}} + (j+k+2)! \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\pi}} b_{j+k+1}^{a_{i+j+k+2}} \right] \left( \tilde{b} \otimes \tilde{b} - \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{\eta_{a_{i}}T_{a_{i}}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{v}_{a_{i}}. \]  

(15)

Here the dimensional representation of the second term (originating from \( \Phi_{a_{i}}^{inh} \)) is

\[
\tilde{\Pi}_{a_{i}}^{inh} = \eta_{a_{i}} \begin{bmatrix}
-\tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{12} & \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{11} - \tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{22}) & -2\tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{23} \\
-2\tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{13} & 2\tilde{e}_{a_{i}0}^{13} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

with \( \eta_{a_{i}} = \frac{\sigma_{a_{i}}\eta_{a_{i}}T_{a_{i}0}}{\Omega_{a_{i}0}}. \)  

(16)

The viscosity coefficient \( \eta_{a_{i}} \) is the collisionless limit of Braginskii’s [2] corresponding viscosity coefficients \( \eta_{a_{i}}^{1} \) and \( 2\eta_{a_{i}}^{2} \). The collisionless limits of Braginskii’s \( \eta_{a_{i}}^{1} \) and \( \eta_{a_{i}}^{2} \) are zero; accordingly, there is no corresponding contribution to our \( \tilde{\Pi}_{a_{i}}^{inh} \).

5. SUMMARY

Based on the application of a MTS approach to the Fokker-Planck equation and to Maxwell’s equations, the particle heat flux and the viscosity tensor were derived. Braginskii’s parallel heat flux related to \( \tilde{\nabla}_{x} \tilde{T}_{a} \) is undefined in the collisionless limit, as is the collisionless limit of Braginskii’s viscosity related to \( \tilde{e}_{a}^{33} \). These contributions to the heat flux and to the viscosity tensor are replaced in our approach by the contributions originating from \( \Phi_{a_{i}}^{inh} \). Apart from these contributions, the heat flux and the viscosity tensor obtained here are equivalent to the collisionless limits of Braginskii’s results.
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