

A novel method for tritium transport studies and its validation at JET

Georges Bonheure¹, Jan Mlynar², L.Bertalot³, S. Popovichev⁴, C. Giroud⁴,
P.Belo⁵, A. Murari⁶ and JET-EFDA Contributors⁷

JET-EFDA Culham Science Centre OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK

¹ERM-KMS, B1000 Brussels, Belgium, Partner in the Trilateral Euregio Cluster

²Association EURATOM-IPP.CR, CZ-182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic

³ITER, JWS Cadarache Centre, 13108 St. Paul lez Durance, France

⁴EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK.

⁵Euratom/IST Fusion Association, Av Rovisco Pais, 1049-001, Lisbonne, Portugal

⁶Association EURATOM/ENEA, Consorzio RFX, 4-35127 Padova, Italy

⁷See annex of M.L.Watkins et al., "Overview of JET Results", Proc.21th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Chengdu, China (2006)

Abstract

A new method combining a free-form solution for the neutron emissivity and ratio method [16] is applied to the investigation of tritium transport in JET plasmas. The minimum fisher regularization (MFR) method [14, 15] which is being developed and studied alongside a range of other methods at JET, was used to obtain the neutron emissivity. The tritium concentration or fuel ion density ratio n_T/n_D in the core plasma was derived from both 2.45 MeV D-D neutron profiles and 14.07 MeV D-T neutron profiles using the ratio method. By using a new anisotropic smoothing constraint in the MFR method 2D neutron emissivity profiles were significantly improved as compared to first results obtained with this method. 1-D profiles of tritium concentration suitable for transport analysis were then obtained by subsequent poloidal integration (fig.2). The tritium transport was studied for a set of discharges with trace tritium puffs selected from the JET trace tritium experiments [4, 5, 6]. Local tritium transport coefficients were derived from the particle flux equation $\Gamma = -D\nabla n_T + Vn_T$, where D is the particle diffusivity and V the convection velocity. First results for the transport coefficients are presented and discussed. Preliminary comparisons show that the obtained core tritium diffusivity values are comparable to neoclassical ones at high collisionalities and decrease with increasing collisionality

1. Introduction

Particle transport is crucial for the density control and burn control in a fusion reactor. Critical issues include the helium ash removal and central impurity accumulation. The density profile peaking is also an important issue because it will directly have an impact on fusion performance in ITER [1-3]. The use of tritium is a valuable tool for particle transport study. For diagnostic purposes, it is convenient to use 'trace' quantities of tritium ($n_T/(n_D + n_T) < 3\%$) in order to balance neutron flux from deuterium-tritium fusion reactions (energy of neutrons 14.07 MeV) with neutron flux from less probable deuterium-deuterium fusion reactions (energy of neutrons 2.45 MeV). Trace tritium experiments have been conducted on JET[5,6] in 1997 and 2003, and on TFTR [7,8]. Using JET neutron emission profile monitor, the time evolution of tritium spatial distribution can be detected in trace quantities by observation of the 14.07 MeV neutron emission e.g. after tritium puff, allowing non perturbative transient experiments[4]. In the transport analysis method on which previous JET publications are based [4-6,10-12], the 14.07 MeV D-T neutron line integrals absolute measurements were simulated and the transport coefficients varied until good fit were obtained. In this paper, a new approach [13] is presented in which direct knowledge of the local tritium concentration or fuel ratio n_T/n_D is obtained using all neutron profile

information available, e.g both 2.45 MeV D-D neutron profiles and 14.07 MeV D-T neutron profiles[16]. This is performed in two steps. First, the local neutron emissivity is calculated from line integrated measurements as inputs using the Minimum Fisher Regularisation (MFR) method [14]. In the second step, the local neutron emissivity for 2.45 MeV D-D neutrons and 14.07 MeV D-T neutrons is combined to obtain the local tritium concentration or fuel ratio n_T/n_D . Tritium particle transports are then derived without any modelling of the neutron emission.

2. Method

2.1 JET neutron emission profile monitor

Compared to other neutron flux measuring instruments available at medium or large-size fusion tokamaks, the JET neutron profile monitor (fig.1) is unique in terms of number of lines of sight, detectors, space and time resolution. The instrument is well described in [9]. The primary function of this instrument is to measure the fusion neutron emission as a function of time and position in a poloidal section through the plasma. It provides data which are independent of all other diagnostic measurements. D-D and D-T neutrons are detected along 19 lines of sight with ~ 15 cm spatial resolution and 10 ms time resolution. The detectors: 1) NE213 organic liquid scintillators (for D-D and D-T neutrons) 2) BC418 fast plastic scintillator (D-T neutrons only) - are absolutely calibrated. The γ/n separation is checked with movable $^{241}\text{AmBe}$ neutron sources. Energy calibration is taken from embedded ^{22}Na γ -ray sources. Upon completion of an important electronics upgrade, a new digital γ/n separation with 14 bits- 200 MS/s PC-based digitizers [17-19] will process the NE213 detector signals.




Figure 1: The JET neutron profile monitor. Channel numbers are indicated. The vertical (viewing) camera records the horizontal emissivity profile and vice versa.




Figure 2: Tritium diffusion in pulse 61372 (time and radial evolution of the n_T/n_D poloidal integral) shortly after a tritium gas puff.

2.2 Neutron emissivity

A large class of methods can potentially solve the neutron emissivity problem (see in [20,21] for past and in [22] for more recent JET work). **Minimum Fisher Regularisation (MFR) method** provides for robust analysis of **sparse data** in plasma diagnostics. MFR was successfully validated at JET for both spatial analysis of neutron emissivity and in spectral analysis of neutrons measured by the NE213 compact spectrometer[24,25]. Being fully based on matrix operations, fast MFR is particularly efficient and adequate when a large number of

neutron emissivity reconstructions are needed, i.e for the data analysis of trace tritium gas puff experiments. Previous works [20] showed that emissivity reconstructions were easily improved by constraining further the free-form solution. In the new MFR version, individual terms of the smoothing matrix B_{jk} [14,15] are transformed into components parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic flux surfaces, which are uploaded from the EFIT reconstruction [29] of the magnetic equilibrium. This constraint proves to eliminate major artefacts [13]. The downside is systematic errors introduced by magnetic reconstructions. The ratio method [16] allows in specific cases to calculate directly the tritium concentration profile from 14.07 MeV D-T and 2.45 MeV D-D local neutron emissivities. The tritium concentration or fuel ratio n_T/n_D is given by:

$$\frac{n_T}{n_D}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Y(\mathbf{r})_{DT,bt} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{DD,bt}(\mathbf{r})}{Y(\mathbf{r})_{DD,bt} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{DT,bt}(\mathbf{r})} (1 + k(\mathbf{r})) \quad (1)$$

In expression(1), the $Y(\mathbf{r})_{DT,bt}$, $Y(\mathbf{r})_{DD,bt}$ are the beam-thermal local neutron emissivities respectively for DT and DD neutrons and $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{DT,bt}$, $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{DD,bt}$ are the main components of the beam-thermal fusion reactivities. The coefficient $k(\mathbf{r})$ is a few percent correction taking into account the various beam energies of the injector and half and third beam ion energies and the beam ions deposition profile [16].

Radial profiles of the n_T / n_D ratio - suitable for tritium transport analysis (see in figure 2)- were obtained from poloidal integral along magnetic flux surfaces of 2D distributions of the ratio of D-T/D-D neutron emissivities. Both D-T and the D-D emissivity distributions were determined using MFR method.

2.3 Tritium transport coefficients

Tritium diffusion and convection velocity transport coefficients (assumed time-independent) were obtained from the dynamic response of the 1-D tritium profile (fig. 2) to the tritium gas puff by fitting the transport relation (2) while Γ was obtained from the local particle balance (or continuity equation). The procedure is illustrated in figure 3.

$$\Gamma = -D \frac{\partial n}{\partial \rho} + V \cdot n \quad (2)$$





Figure 3: Tritium concentration flux normalized to the tritium concentration (Γ / c_T) is plotted versus inversed gradient length of the tritium concentration ($1/L_c$) at $\rho = 0.1$ $t = [62.15 - 62.3]$ in #61110. Note that tritium concentration $c_T = n_T/n_D$ was used instead of tritium density n_T in the transport relation(1). Therefore, $D \rightarrow D_T$ and $V \rightarrow V_T$ only in limit cases. See detailed discussion in paper [13]

Figure 4: A dedicated Monte-Carlo algorithm was developed in order to assess errors in the particle transport coefficients[13].Likelihood distribution calculated using multi-variate fast gaussian sampling[30] is plotted for diffusion coefficient $D(\rho = 0.1)$ for #61138 (Note the logarithmic axis).

3. Results




Figure 5: Tritium diffusion coefficient D (core region $\rho < 0.3$) versus collisionality [26,27] $v^* = v_{ie} R/a \omega_{Tbi}$ for a set of 13 JET plasmas with Tritium puff




Figure 6: Tritium diffusion coefficient D (as in fig.5) normalized to its neoclassical value. Neoclassical values are from JETTO code[31]

4. Summary

A novel analysis method which uses all available neutron profile information was developed for tritium transport studies. The method also provides a direct visualisation of the plasma dynamic response to the tritium gas puff. Some preliminary results about tritium diffusion collisionality v^* dependence were presented in fig.5 and 6. For the data set analyzed, core tritium diffusivity decrease with increasing collisionality and values are comparable to neoclassical ones at high collisionalities. More results (e.g comparison with the ITER IPB98(y,2) particle scaling confinement) can be found in the paper[13]. More data are to be analyzed and benchmarking with other methods [4-6] whenever possible will be continued. Finally, improvements in the quality of data for potential future Trace Tritium experiments are expected from the upgrade of the neutron profile monitor electronics.

Acknowledgements:

The authors wish to thank K.D.Zastrow and D.McDonald for useful comments and discussion. This work, supported by the European Communities under the contract of Association between EURATOM and Belgian state, was carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>[1] WEISEN H. Proc. 20th Int. Conf. on Fusion Energy 2004 (Vilamoura, 2004) (Vienna: IAEA) CD-ROM file EX P6-31 and http://www-pub.iaea.org/mtcd/meetings/PDFplus/fusion-20-preprints/EX_P6.31.pdf</p> <p>[2] ANGIIONI C. et al Phys.Rev.Letter 90 (2003) 205003</p> <p>[3] GARBET X. et al Phys.Rev.Letter 91 (2003) 35001</p> <p>[4] STORK D. et al Nucl.Fusion 46 (2005) S181</p> <p>[5] ZASTROW K.D. et al Nucl.Fusion 39 (1999) 1891</p> <p>[6] ZASTROW K.D. et al 2004 Plasma Phys. Control Fusion 46 B255</p> <p>[7] EFTHIMION P. et al Nucl.Fusion 39 (1999)</p> <p>[8] EFTHIMION P. et al Phys. Rev. Letters 55 (1995), 85</p> <p>[9] ADAMS M. et al Nucl.Instrum.Methods A 329 277</p> <p>[10] MACDONALD D. et al Proc. 20th Int. Conf. on Fusion Energy 2004 (Vilamoura, 2004) (Vienna: IAEA) CD-ROM file EX6/6 and http://www-pub.iaea.org/mtcd/meetings/PDFplus/fusion-20-preprints/EX_6-6.pdf</p> <p>[11] VOITSEKHOVITCH I. et al 2004 Proc.31st EPS Conf. On Plasma Physics (London) P1-158</p> <p>[12] WHITEFORD A.D. PhD thesis, University of Strathclyde, 2004</p> <p>[13] BONHEURE et al, to be submitted to Nucl. Fusion (2008)</p> | <p>[14] ANTON M. et al 1996 Plasma Phys. Control Fusion 38 1849</p> <p>[15] MLYNAR J. et al 2003 Plasma Phys. Control Fusion, 45 169</p> <p>[16] BONHEURE G. et al Nucl.Fusion 46 (2006), 725-740</p> <p>[17] BELLI F. EFD-P(07)34</p> <p>[18] MAROCO D. EFD-C(07)04/16</p> <p>[19] ESPOSITO B. et al Rev.Sci.Instrum 75 3553</p> <p>[20] INGESSON L.C. et al 1998 Nucl.Fusion 38 1675</p> <p>[21] GRANETZ R.S. et al Nucl. Fusion 28 (1988) 457 (1988)</p> <p>[22] CRACIUNESCU T. et al , EFD-P(07)48</p> <p>[23] MLYNAR J. Bull. Am. Phys. Soc 51 (2006) 196</p> <p>[24] BERTALOT L. et al 2004 Proc. 23rd Symp. on Fusion Technology (Venice) P2C-D-226</p> <p>[25] MLYNAR J. et al Fusion Eng. Design 74 (2005) 781</p> <p>[26] KADOMTSEV B.B , Sov.J.Plasma Phys. 1 4(1975)295</p> <p>[27] CONNOR J.W. , TAYLOR J.B. Nucl.Fusion 17 (1977), 1047</p> <p>[28] D. MCDONALD, C. R. Physique 7 (2006)</p> <p>[29] LAO L.L. et al., Nuclear Fusion 25 (1985) 1421</p> <p>[30] LUX I. AND KOBLINGER L. 1991 Monte Carlo particle transport methods: neutron and photon calculations (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press)</p> <p>[31] G. CENACCHI, A. TARONI, ENEA Report RT/TIB/88/5</p> |
|---|--|